

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/099,957	KAWANISHI, TETSUYA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph P. Martinez	2873	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Joseph P. Martinez.

(3) _____

(2) Attorney Surinder Sachar.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 March 2004

Time: 09:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1-40

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/PTO Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Attorney Surinder Sachar that Independent Claim 2 and associated dependent claims were in condition for allowance. Attorney Surinder Sachar opted to cancel Independent Claim 1 and associated dependent claims via Examiner's Amendment in order to put the case in condition for Allowance. .